From:
 Sheldrake, Sean

 To:
 "BAYUK Dana"

 Subject:
 RE: Talk, talk, talk, ... (sf)

Date: Thursday, November 16, 2017 10:10:00 AM

Attachments: <u>image002.png</u>

image004.png image006.png

Dana, I meant to send you a note thanking you and Alex for a great presentation! I think it really hit the mark (what I saw and the questions I heard Lori asking) in terms of balancing out the fact patterns that Exxon presented. Sorry I had to step out for that call to HQ (Elliot Laws, Tom Imeson, Margaret Kirkpatrick were talking to Jim Woolford about Gasco concerns and Exxon's presentation...).

Anyway, I think you and Alex hit the mark quite well—thank you!

S

Sean Sheldrake, Unit Diving Officer, RPM EPA Region 10, 1200 Sixth Ave., Suite 900; Mailstop DOC-01 Seattle, WA 98101 **206.553.1220** desk **206.225.6528** cell http://vosemite.epa.gov/r10/cleanup.nsf/sites/ptldharbor



Over 47 years of scientific diving in support of EPA's mission

From: BAYUK Dana [mailto:Dana.BAYUK@state.or.us]

Sent: Thursday, November 16, 2017 10:02 AM **To:** Sheldrake, Sean <sheldrake.sean@epa.gov>

Subject: Talk, talk, talk...

Morning Sean.

I didn't get a chance to talk to you after yesterday's meeting...hope the Gasco presentation met Lori's (and your) needs. I also note hope my discussion involving DNAPL work in the river was OK.

The word I got was to provide our perspective on NewFields (NF) presentations, and I consider the lack of mention of direct discharge from the uplands to the river to be a significant omission on their part. Once direct discharge was out there, I thought that providing a brief overview of the in-water work and data in that context was key to my discussions of NF's presentation on DNAPL and source control.

FYI...I didn't get much advance notice regarding the scope and intent of the Gasco discussion before

the meeting, and would have rather had time to run the slides past you prior.

Good seeing you and hope your day goes well.

Dana